•	.1 2 3	RONALD J. TENPAS Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Divisio United States Department of Justice	n
	4	KARL J. FINGERHOOD (PA Bar No. 632 Environmental Enforcement Section Environment & Natural Resources Divisio	260) n
		United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611	
	6 7	Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 514-7519 Telefax: (202) 514-2583	
	8	karl.fingerhood@usdoj.gov	
		THOMAS P. O'BRIEN United States Attorney for the Central District of California	
	11	MONICA L. MILLER (CA Bar No. 15769	95)
	12	MONICA L. MILLER (CA Bar No. 15769) Assistant U.S. Attorney 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-2442	
,	13	Telephone: (213) 894-2442 Telefax: (213) 894-7819	
	14	monica.miller@usdoj.gov	
-	15	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
	16	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION	
		WESTERN	DIVISION
	17) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	17 18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY,) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19 20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY,) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19 20 21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY,) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19 20 21 22	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY, and ENERGY MERCHANT CORPORATION,) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19 20 21 22 23	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY, and ENERGY MERCHANT) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY, and ENERGY MERCHANT CORPORATION,) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY, and ENERGY MERCHANT CORPORATION, Defendants.) Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx)
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY, and ENERGY MERCHANT CORPORATION, Defendants.	Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT and through the undersigned attorneys, by
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY, and ENERGY MERCHANT CORPORATION, Defendants. The United States of America, by an	Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT and through the undersigned attorneys, by ang at the request of the Administrator of
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. POWERINE OIL COMPANY, CENCO REFINING COMPANY, and ENERGY MERCHANT CORPORATION, Defendants. The United States of America, by an authority of the Attorney General and activity.	Civil No: 04-6435 CBM (JWJx) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT and through the undersigned attorneys, by ang at the request of the Administrator of

- 1. This is a civil action under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607, relating to the releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at the following Sites: the Waste Disposal, Inc. Site ("WDI Site") located at 12731 Los Nietos Rd., Santa Fe Springs, California; the Operating Industries, Inc. Superfund Site ("the OII Site"), located at 900 Potrero Grande Drive, Monterey Park, California; and the Casmalia Resources Superfund Site ("the Casmalia Site"), located at NTURd-539 San Ysidro Blvd., Casmalia, California.
- 2. The United States in its complaint seeks reimbursement of certain costs incurred and to be incurred by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the Department of Justice from Powerine Oil Company ("Powerine") and CENCO Refining Company ("CENCO") for response actions at the WDI Site 14 and the OII Site, together with the accrued interest thereon, and seeks reimbursement of certain costs incurred and to be incurred by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the Department of Justice from Powerine at the the Casmalia Site, together with the accrued interest thereon.
 - 3. This is also a civil action under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e) for civil penalties for Powerine's failure to timely respond to information requests submitted to it pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA related to the WDI Site, and for injunctive relief and civil penalties, pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B)(ii), 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5)(B)(ii), based upon Energy Merchant Corporation's ("EMC") failure to timely respond to EPA's request submitted to it pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA related to the WDI Site.
 - 4. This is also a civil action under the Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act ("FDCPA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 3001-3308, seeking to set aside, as fraudulent, a payment made by Powerine to EMC.

25

1

10

17

5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over the Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b).

6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the claims arose in, and the threatened and actual releases of hazardous substances occurred in, the Central District of California.

DEFENDANTS

- 7. Powerine is incorporated in the State of California.
- 8. CENCO is incorporated in the State of Delaware. In an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated July 24, 1998, CENCO agreed to assume certain liabilities of Powerine, including among other things, liabilities for the OII and WDI Sites.
 - 9. EMC is incorporated in the State of Delaware.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

The WDI Site

10. The WDI Site is located at 12371 Los Nietos Rd., Santa Fe Springs, in Los Angeles County, California. The main feature of the approximately 38-acre Site is a buried 42-million gallon concrete-lined reservoir, constructed in the 1920s and used by the oil industry as a landfill. The areas outside of and adjacent to the reservoir have been used for unregulated disposal of a variety of liquid and solid wastes and the possible storage and mixing of drilling muds. Between 1937 and 1941, the reservoir cover was removed and, from the early to mid-1940s onward, the reservoir was used for the general disposal of petroleum industry wastes. Beginning in 1949 until at least 1964, Waste Disposal, Inc. operated the

Site under a disposal permit, and may have operated for two to three years after 1964.

- 11. Chemicals of concern discovered at the Site include, among others, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, arsenic, chromium, copper and lead in soil, and chloroform, trichloroethane, tetrachloroethene, benzene, methane, trichloroethene, and vinyl chloride in soil gas. In addition, liquids in the reservoir contain benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, vinyl chloride, polychlorinated biphenyls, arsenic, chromium, and lead. All of these substances are "hazardous substances" as that term is defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14).
- 12. In June 1986, EPA proposed the Site for listing on the National Priorities List ("NPL"). The WDI Site was listed on the NPL on July 22, 1987.
- 13. Powerine contracted, agreed, or otherwise arranged for disposal or treatment or arranged with a transporter for transport for disposal or treatment of refinery wastes and other materials containing hazardous substances, including among other things, tank bottoms, and API separator sludge, at the WDI Site.
- 14. On September 8, 1987, EPA sent a general notice letter to Powerine indicating that it may be a potentially responsible party ("PRP") at the WDI Site. The September 8, 1987, letter also included a request that Powerine provide information pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e). On March 31, 1994, EPA issued a Special Notice letter, pursuant to Section 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(e), to Powerine for the WDI Site.
- 15. In 1988 and 1989, EPA studied the WDI Site as part of a remedial investigation ("RI") study to determine the nature and extent of contamination at the Site and to identify possible long-term cleanup actions. Late in 1993, the EPA selected a remedy to address soils and subsurface gas at the site. This remedy consisted of building a hazardous waste cap, with gas extraction and treatment, if necessary.

- 16. After the 1993 record of decision ("ROD") was signed and the design of the remedy was underway, new information about the extent of contamination at the site became available. Between 1997 and 2001, the EPA and the Waste Disposal, Inc. Group ("WDIG"), a group of 17 generator PRPs, conducted further investigations at the WDI Site to learn more about the amount and types of waste buried there.
- 17. The WDIG completed a Remedial Design Investigative Activities Summary Report in May 2001. The report highlighted the key findings of the recently completed and past investigations conducted at the site. Using the 10 information from investigations performed at the site, a Supplemental Feasibility 11 Study ("SFS") was then prepared in May 2001 which evaluated a variety of 12 cleanup options for the site. Based on the results of the SFS, EPA developed a 13 preferred alternative for cleanup of the site. On June 14, 2002, EPA issued an 14 Amended Record of Decision for the WDI Site. The WDIG proceeded to implement the new remedy. In September 2006, EPA determined that the 16 remedial action was complete.

The OII Site

- The OII site is a 190-acre "facility," as that term is defined in Section 18. 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9). The Site is located at 900 Potrero Grande Drive, Monterey Park, California. The facility operated from approximately 1948 through 1984, and, over the course of the facility's operation, lindustrial wastes and municipal trash were disposed of at the OII Site. Wastes accepted at the Site for disposal included "hazardous substances" as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14).
- There have been "releases" of hazardous substances from the OII 19. Site, within the meaning of Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22) and the Site poses numerous threats to human health and the environment.

1

6

7

17

18

19

22

24

The Casmalia Site

- 20. The Casmalia Site is a commercial hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facility, which accepted hazardous waste and disposed of hazardous waste at the Site from approximately 1973 to 1989. The Site covers approximately 252 acres.
- 21. The Casmalia Site consists, in part, of 58 surface impoundments, two waste treatment systems, and hazardous waste landfills containing polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCB's"), solvents, pesticides, metals, caustics and acids. During its approximately 16 years of operation between 1973 and 1989, the Casmalia Site accepted and disposed of or treated and disposed of in excess of 5 billion pounds of liquid and solid hazardous waste.
- 22. The Casmalia Site was contaminated extensively by its operations. In addition to soil contamination, the Site includes groundwater contaminated with, among other contaminants, nickel and other heavy metals, and a number of volatile organic compounds, such as TCE, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, and 1,1-dichloroethane.
- 23. Since the owner and operators had ceased active management of the Casmalia Site, the Site's condition had deteriorated to the point where it was in need of certain immediate response actions to maintain control of the environmental problems at the Site. In response to unstable and deteriorating conditions at the Site, in 1992 EPA initiated a removal action pursuant to CERCLA Section 104, 42 U.S.C. § 9604, to implement certain interim stabilization actions, prevent further deterioration of site conditions, and control the most immediate threats.
- 24. Site investigation and other CERCLA response work continues at the Casmalia Site, under the direction of both EPA and the Casmalia Steering Committee, a group of major waste generators at the Site.

.10

- 5

- 21·

- 25. On February 12, 1999, EPA Region 9 issued an information request ("Information Request") to Powerine, pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e), seeking to obtain information from Powerine concerning its ability to pay for or to perform cleanup at the WDI Site and OII Site.
- 26. A response to the February 12, 1999, Information Request was due within thirty (30) days of receipt. EPA granted an extension of time for Powerine to respond until April 13, 1999.
- 27. On April 13, 1999, Powerine faxed a letter to EPA stating that EPA should look to CENCO regarding its environmental liabilities. Powerine's letter failed to provide EPA with the information asked for in its Information Request.
- 28. On July 14, 1999, EPA sent a follow-up 104(e) Information Request to Powerine, requesting a response to the Information Request dated February 12, 1999.
- 29. Powerine responded to EPA's Information Request on August 25,1999. The August 25, 1999, response was incomplete.
- 30. On December 13, 1999, EPA sent another 104(e) Information Request to Powerine requesting responses to questions left unanswered in the previous response, as well as additional questions concerning Powerine's financial ability to pay.
- 31. Powerine responded to EPA by letter on January 13, 2000, declining to respond to EPA's December 13, 1999, Information Request under Section 104(e) of CERCLA.

32. On February 14, 2000, EPA again wrote to Powerine and requested 2 an immediate response to its Information Requests dated December 13, 1999, and 3 February 12, 1999.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Powerine failed to respond to EPA's December 13, 1999, Information 33. 5 Request until April 7, 2000, more than 3 months late. Powerine also failed to respond completely to EPA's February 12, 1999, Information Request until April 7, 2000, almost 13 months late.

EPA Requests for Information from EMC under Section 104(e) of CERCLA related to the WDI and OII Sites

- On December 13, 1999, EPA sent a CERCLA Section 104(e) 34. Information Request to EMC, requesting information about EMC's disposition of proceeds from Powerine's asset sale to CENCO, in order to ascertain Powerine's ability to pay for cleanup activities at the WDI Site and the OII Site.
- 35. EMC's response was due to EPA on January 17, 2000. EMC did not respond.
- 36. EPA sent a follow-up 104(e) Information Request to EMC on February 14, 2000, outlining the legal ramifications of EMC's failure to respond and encouraging an immediate response.
- On December 14, 2000, EPA sent another 104(e) Information Request to EMC, recounting the previous Information Requests that remained unanswered.
- 38. On December 31, 2000, EMC responded to EPA's Information Requests. However, that response was incomplete. Most importantly, the response failed to address the request that EMC explain how proceeds from the

sale of the Powerine refinery assets were disbursed to EMC and to any EMCrelated entities. 2 3 4 Fraudulent Conveyance - Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act 5 6 Powerine sold its refinery and related assets to CENCO in August 39. 7 1998 for \$14.7 million and transferred the proceeds from this sale to EMC on 8 August 6, 1998. A portion of this transfer, \$2.2 million, was used to pay the balance on a loan, while the remaining \$12.5 million constituted a "dividend." 10 Powerine did not receive any new consideration from EMC in 40. 11 exchange for the \$12.5 million dividend payment. 12 The money transferred to EMC constituted substantially all of 41. 13 Powerine's assets. 14 42. Powerine transferred its assets to EMC in the midst of negotiations 15 with EPA over the debt it had incurred and was continuing to incur at the WDI and 16 OII Superfund Sites. 17 Powerine's transfer to EMC rendered Powerine insolvent and unable 18 to pay its debts to the United States. 19 44. Powerine concealed the transfer from EPA for over a year following 20 the distribution of the dividend. 21 22 **COUNT ONE - CERCLA LIABILITY AT WDI SITE** 23 24 45. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 10 through 17 above are 25 realleged and incorporated herein by reference. 26 27

response costs incurred, and to be incurred, by the United States in connection

25

26

27

28

with the WDI Site.

52. Defendant CENCO is a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21) and is liable as the successor of Powerine, pursuant to an express assumption of Powerine's liability by CENCO for, among other things, CERCLA claims for the WDI Site.

53. Defendant CENCO is jointly and severally liable to the United States pursuant to CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for all unrecovered response costs incurred, and to be incurred, by the United States in connection with the WDI Site.

COUNT TWO - CERCLA LIABILITY AT THE OII SITE

- 54. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 18 and 19 above are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 55. There were, and are, releases and threatened releases, within the meaning of Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22), of hazardous substances at or from the OII Site.
- 56. The OII Site is a "facility" within the meaning of Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).
- 57. The United States has incurred unreimbursed response costs (including interest) to respond to the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the OII Site. Such costs were not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan.
- 58. Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), provides in pertinent part:
 - (3) any person who by contract, agreement, or otherwise arranged for disposal or treatment, or arranged with a transporter for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous substances owned or possessed by such person, by any other party or entity and containing such hazardous substances . . . shall be liable for—

- 59. Defendant Powerine is a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21) and is liable under Section 107(a)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(3), as a person who arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances or who arranged for transport for disposal or treatment of such substances at the OII Site.
- 60. Defendant Powerine is jointly and severally liable to the United States pursuant to CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for all unrecovered response costs incurred, and to be incurred, by the United States in connection with the OII Site.
- 61. Defendant CENCO is a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21) and is liable as the successor of Powerine, pursuant to an express assumption of Powerine's liability by CENCO for, among other things, CERCLA claims for the OII Site.
- 62. Defendant CENCO is jointly and severally liable to the United States pursuant to CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for all unrecovered response costs incurred, and to be incurred, by the United States in connection with the OII Site.

COUNT THREE - CERCLA LIABILITY AT THE CASMALIA SITE

63. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 20 through 24 above are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

- 64. There were, and are, releases and threatened releases, within the meaning of Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(22), of hazardous substances at or from the Casmalia Site.
- 65. The Casmalia Site is a "facility" within the meaning of Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).
- 66. The United States has incurred unreimbursed response costs (including interest) to respond to the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Casmalia Site. Such costs were not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan.
- 67. Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), provides in pertinent part:
 - (3) any person who by contract, agreement, or otherwise arranged for disposal or treatment, or arranged with a transporter for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous substances owned or possessed by such person, by any other party or entity and containing such hazardous substances . . . shall be liable for—
 - (A) all costs of removal or remedial action incurred by the United States Government or a State not inconsistent with the national contingency plan. . . .
- 68. Defendant Powerine is a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21) and is liable under Section 107(a)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(3), as a person who arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances or who arranged for transport for disposal or treatment of such substances at the Casmalia Site.
- 69. Defendant Powerine is jointly and severally liable to the United States pursuant to CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for all unrecovered response costs incurred, and to be incurred, by the United States in connection with the Casmalia Site.

- 70. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 25 through 33 above are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 71. This is a civil claim brought against Powerine pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604 (e)(5)(B), for penalties based on Powerine's failure to timely comply with an information request submitted to it pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e).
- 72. The access to information requirements contained in Section 104(e)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(2), state that:

[EPA] may require any person who has or may have information relevant to any of the following to furnish, upon reasonable notice, information or documents relating to such matter:

- (A) The identification, nature, and quantity of materials which have been or are generated, treated, stored, or disposed of at a vessel or facility or transported to a vessel or facility.
- (B) The nature or extent of a release or threatened release of a hazardous substance or pollutant or contaminant at or from a vessel or facility.
- (C) Information relating to the ability of a person to pay for or to perform a cleanup. . . .
- 73. Pursuant to Section 104(e)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5), when any person fails to provide information requested by EPA pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e), EPA may commence a civil action to obtain an order to require that the requested information be provided and assess and recover a civil penalty. 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5).
- 74. Section 104(e)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5), authorizes the Attorney General to commence a civil action to assess and recover a civil penalty against "any person who unreasonably fails to comply with the provisions of paragraph [104(e)](2)..."

- 76. Powerine's failure to timely provide the information violates Section 104(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5)(B), and, pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5)(B), subjects Powerine to a civil penalty not to exceed \$27,500 per day for each violation, pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B) of the Act, as amended by Pub. L. 104-134 and 61 Fed. Reg. 69360.
- 77. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 34 through 38 above are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 78. This is a civil claim brought against EMC pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604 (e)(5)(B), for penalties and injunctive relief based on EMC's failure to timely comply with an Information Request submitted to it pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e).
- 79. EMC failed to comply with the requirements of Section 104(e)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(2), as described above, in that EMC unreasonably failed to timely provide information requested by EPA's December 13, 1999, and December 14, 2000, Information Requests.
- 80. EMC's failure to timely provide the information violates Section 104(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5)(B), and, pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(5)(B), subjects EMC to a civil penalty not to exceed \$27,500 per day for each violation, pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B) of the Act, as amended by Pub. L. 104-134 and 61 Fed. Reg. 69360 through March 15, 2004, and a civil penalty not to exceed \$32,500 per day for each violation after March 15, 2004, pursuant to Section 104(e)(5)(B) of the Act, as amended by Pub. L. 104-134 and 69 Fed. Reg. 7121.

- 81. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 39 through 44 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 82. The Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act ("FDCPA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 3001-3308, authorizes the United States to bring a fraudulent conveyance action to avoid transfers that are fraudulent as to a debt owed to the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 3306.
- 83. A transfer is fraudulent as to a debt owed to the United States if the debt arises before the transfer is made, the debtor makes the transfer without receiving reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the transfer, and the debtor either is insolvent or becomes insolvent as a result of the transfer. 28 U.S.C. § 3304(a).
- 84. The transfer of assets from Powerine to EMC constitutes a fraudulent conveyance under the FDCPA. The transfer occurred after Powerine incurred its debts to the United States, including but not limited to the debts arising from Powerine's liability at the WDI and OII Sites. Powerine began incurring the debt for OII in 1984 and for WDI in 1986, and was continuing to incur debt at the time of the transfer. Powerine did not receive reasonably equivalent value because it received nothing from EMC in exchange for the \$12.5 million dividend payment. The transfer rendered Powerine insolvent.
- 85. A transfer is also fraudulent if the debtor makes the transfer with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor, regardless of whether the debt arises before or after the transfer is made. 28 U.S.C. § 3304(b). In determining actual intent, consideration may be given to the following factors: whether the transfer was made to an insider; whether the transfer was concealed; whether the debtor had been sued or threatened with suit before the transfer was made;

whether the value of the consideration received by the debtor was reasonably equivalent to the value of the asset transferred; whether the transfer was of substantially all the debtor's assets; whether the debtor was insolvent or became insolvent as result of the transfer; and whether the transfer occurred shortly before or shortly after a substantial debt was incurred. 28 U.S.C. § 3304(2).

- 86. The transfer of assets from Powerine to EMC constitutes a fraudulent conveyance under the FDCPA because it was made with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor.
 - a. The transfer made by Powerine was to an insider. EMC was Powerine's sole shareholder and, therefore, was in control of Powerine.
 - b. Powerine concealed the transfer from EPA for over a year following the distribution of the dividend to EMC.
 - c. Powerine had been sued by the United States and was threatened with additional lawsuits when the transfer occurred.
 - d. The transfer constituted substantially all of Powerine's assets.
 - e. Powerine removed its assets by transferring them to EMC.
 - f. Powerine did not receive any new consideration from EMC in exchange for its \$12.5 million dividend payment.
 - g. Powerine was insolvent or became insolvent shortly after the transfer was made.
 - h. The transfer occurred shortly after Powerine began incurring its debts to the United States and while it was still incurring debts to the United States.
- 87. The transfer of assets from Powerine to EMC constitutes a fraudulent conveyance and, therefore, should be voided so that the funds may be used to

satisfy Powerine's debts to the United States, including but not limited to the debts arising from the WDI Site.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States of America respectfully requests judgment:

- 1. Against Powerine, jointly and severally, a judgment for all unpaid costs incurred by the United States relating to the WDI Site, the OII Site, and the Casmalia Site, plus interest;
- 2. Against CENCO, jointly and severally, a judgment for all unpaid costs incurred by the United States relating to the WDI and OII Site, plus interest;
- 3. A declaratory judgment against Powerine and CENCO, pursuant to Section 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), as to liability for response costs or damages that will be binding on any subsequent action or actions to recover further response costs or damages incurred by EPA at the WDI Site; the OII Site; and the Casmalia Site.
- 4. Against Powerine and EMC, for penalties for failure to timely respond to EPA's Information requests issued pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA with respect to the WDI Site;
- 5. Voiding the transfer of assets by Powerine to EMC, and ordering that the dividend payment be returned to Powerine, to the extent necessary to satisfy Powerine's liabilities to the United States; and

1	6. Granting su	ich other relief as the Court deems appropriate.
2	Dated: October 2, 2007.	
3	HANOV.	
4		FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
5	,	
6	·	
7		RONALD J. TENPAS
8		Acting Assistant Attorney General
.		Environment & Natural Resources Division
9		U.S. Department of Justice
10		Washington, D.C. 20530
11		
12		
13		
		KARL J. FINGERHOOD
14		Trial Attorney
15	· ·	Environmental Enforcement Section
16		Environment & Natural Resources Division
17		
		THOMAS P. O'BRIEN
18		United States Attorney for the
19		Central District of California
20		300 N. Los Angeles St.
21		Los Angeles, CA 90012
•		MONICA L. MILLER
22		Assistant United States Attorney
23	OF COUNSEL:	
24		
25	TALY JOLISH	
26	Assistant Regional Counsel	
	Environmental Protection Age	ency
27	75 Hawthorne Street	
20	San Francisco, CA 94105	